**Chancellor’s Campus Sustainability Committee Meeting**

**Thursday, June 5th, 10:30-12:00 in Cheadle 5123**

**Attendance:**

Voting Members: Dylan Tompkins, Karly Miller, Britt Ortiz, Bruce Tiffney, Mel Manalis

Absentee Votes: Roland Geyer, Alex Regan, David Auston

Alex Regan Yes for OE and Yes on Budget, Yes on TGIF

Roland Geyer Yes for OE and Yes on Budget, Yes on TGIF

David Austin-Yes for OE, Yes on Budget, Yes on TGIF

**Advisory/Consultants/staff members**: Katie Maynard, Mo Lovegreen, Jewel Snavely

**Other attendees:** Jordan Sager, David McHale, Matt O’Carroll, Cassidy green, Ian Creelman , , Brett Olson Arjun Sarkar, Alycia Lewis, Tamara \_\_\_

**Absentee Members:** Alex Regan, Pam Lombardo, David Auston, Eric Matthys, Roland Geyer, Constance Penley, Igor Mezic, Jennifer Suh Mark Brzezinski, Denise Stephens

**10:35-10:40 Announcement:**

a) Green Department Award – Katie - PACES Tier I Green Department Award goes to the West Campus Child Care Center, they went through the full PACES assessment. The Tier II Green Department Award goes to the California NanoSystems Institute.

b) GM MOU Update –Mo Lovegreen – We reviewed the numbers and determined we were only eligible for about 9000 credits, this would only amount to about $90,000.

**Bruce** – do we still see value in the project?

**Karly** --- Depends on whether we just get monetary value from this project.

**Mel** – I am not sure I would dismiss $60,000.

**Jordan** – We would still have to spend a lot of time for verification and to work with the Lawyers.

**Bruce** – Monetary value of the time we would need to dedicate.

**Jordan** – I can look at this.

**Bruce** - do we need a vote or can we just give Joel the estimates?

**Mel** – I think we can just send the numbers.

**10:40-10:42 Minutes:**

**Update ---**

a)    Review meeting min. from Bruce – Approved

**10:42-11:10 Presentations/Discussion:**

a)    Fossil Free – Emily Williams – didn’t arrive do to confusion around meeting time

b)    CSC Reappointments in 2014/2015 – Jewel Snavely

a.    Members up for renewal/replacement: **Mel Manalis**, Faculty At Large; **Britt Ortiz**, Staff Member; **Constance Penley**, Faculty Member, Carsey-Wolf Center. **Karly Miller**, GSA representative, **Dylan Tompkins**, AS Representative **Jennifer Suh**, EAB Representative

Mel Manalis (reconfirmed), Brit Ortiz (reconfirmed), Karly Miller (reconfirmed), Constance Penley (Pending), new EAB representative will be Emma Carrico and new AS representative will be Nicholas Frey

**11:10-11:40 Action Items:**

1. CSC Budget for 14/15 – Mo Lovegreen (see attached PDF)

Mo – there is one amendment to the Budget, we will be moving some of the money in misc. and moving it to the travel budget so that Amorette can attend a conference that will help with the Lab work she is doing.

Joel and Marc gave an addition $10,000 each this year and for next year we are working on securing a permanent core budget.

Bruce – the budget was fixed for three years so this extra $20,000 just brings up to speed.

**All in favor of Budget – Budget was approved**

1. Green Revolving Fund Proposal – David Austin/Jewel Snavely (see attached PDF)

**All in favor of Proposal – Proposal was approved**

1. Operational Effectiveness Pilot Program Endorsement (see attached PDF)

**Bruce** – we had been working in the assumption that there was going to be a pilot program for the OE energy management Initiative with two buildings, the pilot would have targeted behavioral changes by incentivizing energy efficiency. The funding needed for this project was dropped and this is a letter to show our support and ask him to go forward.

**Mo** - This is something that would also help meet our 2020 goal.

**Jordan** - The project would focus on 2 buildings and we think we would get a maximum 10% savings for each building. The estimated savings would be around $30,000 with half of the savings going back to the departments or buildings and half to the utilities.

**David** – It is volunteer program and it has a savings to the campus, half of those savings go back to the campus and half go to the departments so it is a win win project.

**Mo** – What was being asked for was only the money that would be going back to the department, about $17,000.

**Bruce** - it is important to note that there is no punishment for not reducing energy use, this is just a carrot program. Both the carrot and a stick model were discussed and it was decided to go with just the carrot.

**Mel** – The one aspect of the entire energy project that is really left out is the behavior of us and I can’t think of a more important thing. The teaching and research aspect of this is huge.

**David** – if we look forward at the Presidents goal this will have a significant impact. If we can reduce the amount of energy we use it has a huge monetary savings because that is renewable energy we don’t have to purchase.

**Mo** – can we propose that the Sustainability committee will use $5000 from our budget to support the project.

**Mel -** I support that.

**Dylan** - should we send the memo to him first? Then offer funds?

**Jordan –** the budget office is rejecting the concept not the budget and this is a time sensitive

D**ylan** – What was the budget office’s reasoning?

**Jordan** – can’t say exactly because I wasn’t there but I think it is to try to halt the initiative and keep us from moving in the direction of a campus wide energy management initiative.

**Bruce –** Do we want to give up $5000 or just say do it?

**Mo** – It just says how much we support it.

**Ian Creelman**– We will write our own letter to the Chancellor and are willing to do whatever it takes to move it forward.

**Jewel** – Fossil free students will also be writing a letter too.

**Matt** – We should also consider the effect of client dropping a Bren Student project and the negative effects.

**Jordan –** want to make sure the budget office doesn’t see this as an attack, they are our allies and we wouldn’t want to go offend them.

**Bruce** – do we offer $5000 of our own money?

**Mo** – as Dylan suggested maybe we should move forward with this letter and it could be mentioned in an in person meeting. Should we offer it as a bargaining chip?

There was a general consensus around offering it as a bargaining chip.

**Motion to forward - letter was approved**

**11:40-12:00 Subcommittee Updates:**

a)    Alternative Energy – David Austin

b)    Built Environment – Marc Fisher/Jordan Sager

Mo- We have a Lab ventilation sub group under the built environment and have been looking at whether we could get a variance for some of our fume hoods. We have done a lot of background research and we have found that some campuses are reducing the air changes in their fume hoods and taking other steps to reduce risk such as using occupancy sensors. TGIF funded a post doc Amorette Getty to work on this project and we have all the right players at the table.

c)    Communications – Jewel Snavely

d)    Transportation – Roland Geyer/Mo Lovegreen

Mo – The mode split survey has been completed and we met today to look at how we can present the data.

e)    Waste –Matt O’Carroll (Mo Lovegreen/Matt O’Carroll)

f)     Water –Matt O’Carroll (Mo Lovegreen/Matt O’Carroll)

Just finished a tabling event at farmers market with Goleta and we are moving forward with our Community lecture series

g)    \*Academic Senate Sustainability Work Group (SWG) – Katie Maynard/Bruce Tiffney

---A faculty Champion was announced but we are not yet allowed to announce the individual until other applicants have been notified.

h)   ECOalition

\*Met since last CSC meeting